



JLSLS



*Journal of Language Situation and Language Service*

*JLSLS*, Vol. 1, No.2, 2025, pp.329-337.

Print ISSN: 3078-3755; Online ISSN: 3104-5065

Journal homepage: <https://www.lslsjournal.com>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64058/JLSLS.25.2.12>

## Janus at the Threshold of Language and Society

—A Review of *James Walker's Language in Its Social Context: An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*

Dong Hongjie, Luo Chenbai

**Abstract:** This article reviews James Walker's *Language in Its Social Context: An Introduction to Sociolinguistics* as a coherent and pedagogically oriented introduction to the field. We argue that the book largely fulfils its aim of serving as a single, self-contained textbook for readers with no prior training in linguistics, without sacrificing theoretical and methodological rigour. The review first outlines the structure of the volume, tracing how it moves from language ideologies, multilingualism and interpersonal interaction to regional and social variation, style, social categories, language change, language contact and mode and media. It then highlights five main strengths: the easy-to-follow and modular chapter design; the steady integration of quantitative and qualitative methods, including basic statistical reasoning; the truly global range of linguistic and social settings combined with English-based examples; the clear and insightful discussion of language ideologies; and the engaging prose style that presents different theoretical traditions in a balanced and historically informed way. Finally, we note that the concluding chapter on mode and media, though timely and welcome, would benefit from a fuller engagement with recent research on digital communication, multimodal practices, and emerging forms of variation and identity work in online and signed-language contexts. Overall, we conclude that Walker's book offers a rigorous, up-to-date and

unusually integrated picture of sociolinguistics, making it a strong core text for both introductory and more advanced courses.

**Keywords:** sociolinguistics; language ideologies; style and variation; media and modality; methodology

**Author Biography:** Dong Hongjie, Professor, Ph.D, School of Liberal Arts, Xi'an University. E-mail: donghongjie@xawl.edu.cn. Luo Chenbai, Corresponding author, Ph.D, Candidate in Linguistics, Faculty of Arts, Charles University. E-mail: luoch@ff.cuni.cz.

**标题:** “雅努斯”, 语言与社会的交界——评詹姆斯·沃克的《社会语境下的语言: 社会语言学导论》

**摘要:** 本文评介了詹姆斯·沃克的《社会语境下的语言: 社会语言学导论》一书。该书框架严谨、教学导向明确, 是“一本通”式的社会语言学教材, 既考虑了非语言学背景的读者的需求, 又保持了理论诠释与方法论呈现的严谨。全书以语言意识形态、多语现象及人际互动为起点, 继而扩展至地域与社会变异、语言风格、社会范畴、语言演变、语言接触以及模态与媒介等议题, 形成由微观互动到宏观结构的递进式论述。本文概况了该书的五大亮点: 一是章节设计清晰易懂且自成模块; 二是有机融合了定量与定性的研究方法, 其中对数据统计方法的呈现尤为突出; 三是案例选取兼具全球视野与易读性, 以英语研究案例为主要例证, 同时涵盖多种语言和社会情境。四是语言意识形态相关讨论条例清晰、论述深入; 五是能在梳理历史脉络的基础上, 对社会语言学领域不同理论传统作出兼容并蓄的整合性介绍。与此同时, 书中第14章所设的“模态与媒介”内容十分切合当下议题, 但在与数字传播、多模态实践, 以及线上和手语情境下的变异与身份建构等前沿研究的结合方面仍有深化空间。总体而言, 该书为读者提供了一副完整而前沿的社会语言学知识图谱, 适合作为本科及研究生阶段的核心教材。

**关键词:** 社会语言学; 语言意识形态; 风格与变异; 媒介与模态; 方法论

**作者简介:** 董洪杰, 博士, 西安文理学院文学院教授。电邮: donghongjie@xawl.edu.cn。

雒晨柏, 通讯作者, 语言学博士研究生, 查理大学文学院。电邮: luoch@ff.cuni.cz。

## 1. Introduction

James A. Walker's *Language in Its Social Context: An Introduction to Sociolinguistics* offers a comprehensive and well-organised introduction to the field for readers with no prior training in linguistics. Framing sociolinguistics as a Janus-faced discipline that looks simultaneously toward language structure and social life, Walker aims to show how quantitative variationist work, qualitative and ethnographic approaches, and anthropological perspectives can be integrated in a single, coherent textbook, in line with well-established traditions in the sociology of language, the ethnography of communication and variationist sociolinguistics (Fishman, 1968; Hymes, 1974; Labov, 1972). The book aims to provide a broad, self-

contained introduction that can be used with undergraduates, beginning graduate students and interested readers from adjacent disciplines, and that integrates methodological discussion with hands-on activities.

In our view, this aim is largely achieved. The book succeeds in offering a highly integrated portrait of the field: it moves from language ideology and multilingualism through interpersonal pragmatics, culture and ethnography, quantitative variationist methods and statistical reasoning, and then to social class, gender, ethnicity, language change, contact and media. At the same time, it is explicit about its pedagogical ambitions, with exercises, small-scale research projects and accessible explanations of concepts that are often treated as background knowledge. The Janus metaphor introduced at the outset is used effectively: throughout the text, linguistic structure and social meaning are repeatedly shown in relation to each other, giving readers a clear sense of how sociolinguistics operates at both levels at once.

Having sketched this overall verdict, we turn next to the book's declared aims, intended readership and pedagogical design.

## **2. Aims, Audience, and the Book's Organizing Logic**

Walker is very explicit about what he wants this book to be: a single, self-contained introduction to sociolinguistics for readers who may never have taken a linguistics course, but who need a serious, up-to-date guide to the field. The Preface stresses that the volume is designed to work as the sole textbook in a course, without the constant supplementation that many of us have found necessary with existing introductions. It assumes no prior knowledge of linguistics or statistics, and is aimed at a level suitable for undergraduates, beginning graduate students and interested readers beyond linguistics. At the same time, it seeks to avoid the superficiality and topical "grab bag" structure that Walker identifies in some earlier texts, building instead a cumulative argument about what it means to study "language in its social context."

Chapter 1 provides the conceptual backbone for the book in the form of a three-part framework: the sociology of language (macro, quantitative), the ethnography of communication (micro, qualitative), and variationist sociolinguistics (micro, quantitative). This triad is not presented as three isolated subfields, but as complementary perspectives organised around scale and method, reflecting the main historical themes of the field (Fishman, 1968; Hymes, 1974; Labov, 1972). Walker repeatedly returns to it when introducing later chapters, reminding readers how each topic, for example, language planning, politeness or language change, can be read through more than one of these lenses.

The book's pedagogical design also flows directly from these aims. Key terms are highlighted and briefly explained in margins and in a glossary; each chapter ends with carefully scoped "small-scale projects" and further reading; and a companion website offers quizzes and multimedia links. The overall teaching philosophy is to let students do sociolinguistics from the outset, while gradually increasing conceptual complexity and methodological demands. These aims are visible not only in the micro-design of chapters, but also in the macro-structure of the volume as a whole.

### 3. Architecture of the Volume

The book's structure is more systematic than just a simple overview of 'topics', and much of its coherence as a textbook depends on this framework. After the opening methodological and conceptual chapter, Chapters 2-5 make up an initial section on ideology, multilingualism, and interpersonal interaction. Chapter 2 ("Beliefs about Language") covers language ideologies, prestige, norms and standards, and prescriptivism versus descriptivism, offering a critical view on how linguistic forms gain moral and political significance. Chapter 3 discusses societal multilingualism and language planning, including diglossia, conflict, and policy, while Chapter 4 explores interpersonal relationships, address, politeness, and power/solidarity. Finally, Chapter 5 expands again to language and culture, metaphor, linguistic relativity, and Hymes's SPEAKING model.

Chapters 6-9 constitute a second block that introduces what might be called the variationist toolkit. Chapter 6 covers regional variation and dialectology, including dialect maps and dialectometry. Chapter 7 is the core methods chapter on the sociolinguistic variable, quantitative analysis, sampling and regression, providing the statistical underpinnings for later chapters and echoing the classic development of variable-rule analysis and quantitative practice in sociolinguistics (Cedergren & Sankoff, 1974; Guy, 1993; Labov, 1972). Chapter 8 ("Language Variation and Style") traces the evolution from Labov's "attention to speech" through Bell's audience design to more agentive, third-wave approaches emphasising persona and indexicality (Bell, 1984; Eckert, 2012; Eckert, 2025). Chapter 9 extends the analysis to social status, social class, networks and communities of practice, linking large-scale stratification with local group organisation in ways that recall classic work on social-class patterning in New York City English (Labov, 1966).

A third block (Chapters 10-12) develops the social dimensions of variation: sex/gender (broadly construed, including sexuality and gender identity), ethnicity (from race-based categories and African American English to multiethnolects and emic "ethnic orientation"), and time. The chapter on time and change, in particular, makes effective use of apparent and real time, classic studies such as Martha's Vineyard (Labov, 1963) and more recent work on urban vowel shifts to show how change is embedded in community structure. Finally, a fourth block (Chapters 13-14) turns to language contact, mixed languages, Pidgins and Creoles, and then to mode and media: signed languages, writing and historical data, broadcast media and digital communication. This progression: ideology → multilingualism → interaction → methodological tools → social factors → contact and media, which gives the book a clear overall flow while still allowing individual chapters to be used as independent readings.

Within this overarching structure, several features stand out as particularly distinctive.

### 4. Five Distinctive Features

#### Feature 1: Accessibility, Modularity and Pedagogical Design

One of the most attractive features of the book is the way it welcomes readers who have no prior training in linguistics or statistics, without talking down to them. Walker covers a remarkably wide range of approaches, quantitative and qualitative, macro and micro, yet the exposition remains approachable. Core concepts such

as language ideology, the sociolinguistic variable, speech accommodation, diglossia or indexicality are introduced with clear definitions, well-chosen examples and regular summaries that help readers consolidate terminology and ideas.

The modularity of the chapters is another strength: the material clearly builds in complexity, but each chapter stands on its own. This makes the volume highly adaptable for different course designs; instructors can assign, for instance, the chapters on style, language change or language planning as discrete units without assuming that students have read the entire book. The end-of-chapter exercises, small-scale projects and further reading lists are carefully aligned with the main text rather than feeling like afterthoughts. They encourage students to move from passive comprehension to active investigation, while simultaneously offering graduate students or independent readers a clear route into the wider literature.

### **Feature 2: Fresh Angles, Methodological Depth and Statistical Literacy**

One ongoing challenge for any new sociolinguistics textbook is to avoid recycling the familiar set of canonical examples. Walker certainly includes the expected cases that an introductory text must cover, but he adds less familiar studies, recent data and a broader range of language situations. The book remains firmly based on its title-related concern with social context: discussions of prestige, power, ideology and inequality run through chapters, and abstract theoretical points are regularly linked to concrete issues of education, discrimination, language policy or social justice.

Methodologically, the book's distinctive contribution lies in weaving methods throughout the text rather than confining them to a single chapter. Survey design, attitude research and fieldwork techniques emerge repeatedly; the chapters on the sociolinguistic variable and on style in particular offer a gentle introduction to descriptive and inferential statistics, including frequency, contingency tables, regression and the notion of statistical significance. These explanations are conceptually clear and closely tied to real case studies, variables such as (ING), /r/-lessness or discourse markers, rather than in abstract formulae, and they connect directly to the tradition of variable-rule analysis and multivariate modelling in variationist sociolinguistics (Cedergren & Sankoff, 1974; Guy, 1993; Labov, 1972). For students encountering quantitative sociolinguistics for the first time, this combination of support for ideas, concrete examples and realistic project suggestions is a big advantage.

### **Feature 3: Global Coverage with Accessible, English-based Illustration**

Another notable feature of the book is its global scope. The languages, regions, cultures and populations featured in the examples span the world. Chapters draw on material from Canada, the United States and the UK, as well as from places such as Paraguay, India, China, Norway, Singapore, Tanzania and various Indigenous communities. This diversity is especially evident in the chapters on multilingualism, language planning, language contact, and language change, where Walker demonstrates how similar sociolinguistic processes unfold differently in postcolonial, European, and Indigenous settings, echoing long-standing discussions of “outer circle” Englishes and sociolinguistic realism (Kachru, 1985).

At the same time, many of the worked examples are in English, and this is a pedagogically sensible choice. For readers whose main (or only) linguistic resource is English, having examples in a familiar

language lowers the barrier to engaging with complex ideas about variation, style, social status, sex/gender and ethnicity. Where non-English data are presented, they are generally glossed clearly and embedded in rich sociocultural explanation, so that the focus stays on the sociolinguistic point rather than on decoding the data.

#### **Feature 4: A Lucid and Insightful Account of Language Ideologies**

A further distinctive strength of the book is its sustained and remarkably clear discussion of language ideologies, most fully developed in the chapter on “Beliefs about Language”. Walker begins by explaining “ideology” as the shared frameworks used to interpret behaviour, drawing on Geertz’s view of ideologies as culturally grounded “road maps” for social action (Geertz, 1973). He then links ideology to social identity and social categories, showing how labels and stereotypes about groups become attached to particular ways of speaking, with concrete consequences for discrimination and exclusion.

Building on classic work in linguistic anthropology and political economy, the chapter offers a detailed overview of language ideologies as beliefs about language that are inseparable from moral and political interests: non-standard forms are not merely heard as “different” but are routinely evaluated as signs of ignorance, laziness or even threat (Irvine, 1989; Silverstein, 1979). Walker’s extended discussion of prescriptivism and descriptivism is especially effective. He not only contrasts these orientations but traces how prescriptive norms are naturalised and enforced through institutions such as schools, dictionaries, grammars and academies (for example, the Académie Française), and how they shape access to education, employment and even legal rights. The subsequent distinction between norms and standards further deepens the analysis, clarifying how implicit, socially shared expectations about “appropriate” usage become codified as explicit, sanctioned rules. Throughout, the exposition combines theoretical clarity with vivid, accessible examples, from attitudes to *ain’t* and swearing to debates over “substandard” versus “nonstandard” varieties, inviting readers to see language as a site where power, morality and inequality are continually negotiated rather than as a neutral unbiased pathway for information.

In this way, the chapter provides not only a comprehensive introduction to language ideologies but also a set of conceptual tools that underpins the rest of the book’s engagement with language attitudes, multilingualism and language policy.

#### **Feature 5: Engaging Prose and Balanced Theoretical Synthesis**

Finally, the book’s writing style and handling of theoretical debates are well-suited to its intended audience. The tone is that of a knowledgeable but approachable lecturer, addressing students directly, anticipating likely questions, and occasionally drawing on teaching and fieldwork experiences. Nowhere is this more evident than in the chapter on style, where Walker guides the reader through the trajectory from first-wave models of “attention to speech”, through audience design, to third-wave, persona-based approaches (Bell, 1984; Eckert, 2012). He uses concrete, memorable case studies, such as politicians’ style-shifting or Podesva’s analysis of the “bitchy diva” persona, to show how abstract notions like indexicality and the layering of social meaning operate in practice (Podesva, 2007; Silverstein, 2003).

Rather than simply juxtaposing schools of thought, he highlights both their connections and their limitations, helping readers to see why particular models emerged, what problems they addressed and how later work has revised or extended them. This pattern recurs across the book: classic frameworks are presented respectfully, but not uncritically, and newer developments are introduced as elaborations or correctives rather than as wholesale replacements. The result is that students are not only brought up to date with current debates, but are also given a sense of the field's intellectual history and of how sociolinguistic theory develops through ongoing dialogue with empirical findings (Eckert, 2012; Labov, 1966; Silverstein, 2003).

Precisely because the volume does so much so well, it is worth noting one area where, in our view, its coverage could be further strengthened.

### **5. Critical Reflections and Possible Limitations**

Given the breadth and ambition of the volume, it is not surprising that there are areas where readers might wish for fuller treatment. For us, the most obvious such point is the final chapter on mode and media. Its inclusion is in itself greatly appreciated: issues of modality, signed language, writing, broadcast media and digital communication remain oddly underrepresented in many "classic" sociolinguistics textbooks, despite the centrality of mediated interaction in contemporary life. Walker's treatment of signed languages in this chapter is particularly valuable, signalling that "language in its social context" must encompass signed as well as spoken modalities, and aligning with sociolinguistic work on variation and change in Deaf communities (Lucas, Bayley, & Valli, 2001).

At the same time, the discussion of both signed languages and contemporary social media feels somewhat brief relative to the conceptual and empirical richness of these domains. Recent sociolinguistic work on digital communication, ranging from the study of platform-specific linguistic norms to the emergence of hybrid, visually oriented "digital dialects", has shown how online environments give rise to new forms of linguistic creativity and variation, shaped by the affordances and speed of digital media (McCulloch, 2019). Research on multimodal practices has likewise demonstrated how emojis, memes, GIFs and other visual-symbolic resources function as linguistic choices, contributing to identity construction, affective expression and expressing position in ways that parallel (but are not reducible to) spoken or written forms. At the same time, work on language, ideology and identity in new media contexts highlights how online platforms can become sites of ideological negotiation and sociopolitical positioning, revealing that media practices are inseparable from broader struggles over meaning, identity and power (Wong, 2005). Such developments are directly relevant to the book's emphasis on language as a socially embedded practice. Integrating these themes, digital interaction, multimodal repertoires and contemporary variation in signed languages, would, in our view, have further strengthened the final chapter's argument about the diversity of communicative modes that sociolinguistics must now account for. Even so, this remains a relatively modest requirement when set against the strengths of the volume as a whole.

## 6. Conclusion: Returning to Janus

Taken as a whole, *Language in its Social Context* comes remarkably close to realising the ambitious brief set out in its opening pages: to provide a single, up-to-date, globally oriented and methodologically serious introduction to sociolinguistics for readers who may have no prior training in linguistics. It offers a coherent framework for thinking about macro and micro, qualitative and quantitative approaches; it demonstrates in concrete detail how to design and interpret sociolinguistic studies; and it keeps questions of ideology, power and inequality in clear view throughout. The integration of exercises, project suggestions and further readings means that the book functions not only as a reference text but also as a course in how to think and work as a sociolinguist.

Returning to Walker's adoption of Guy's Janus metaphor, we can ask whether the book truly looks both "inside" to linguistic structure and "outside" to social life at the same time. The answer, in our view, is that it does so more consistently than most existing textbooks. The three core propositions articulated in Chapter 1, that language is social, ideological and inherently variable, are not left as slogans; they are developed, illustrated and revisited across chapters on ideology, multilingualism, interaction, variation, social factors, contact and media, in ways that resonate strongly with broader developments in sociolinguistic theory (Eckert, 2012; Labov, 1972; Silverstein, 2003). By the end, readers who have worked through the text will have not only a stock of concepts and case studies, but also a way of seeing language where structural patterns and social meanings are mutually revealing.

For teachers looking for a single core text that can support both introductory and more advanced courses, and for students seeking an entry point into the field that neither oversimplifies nor overwhelms, Walker's book is, in our judgment, an excellent choice.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## ORCID

Dong Hongjie <sup>ID</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3233-3865>

Luo Chenbai <sup>ID</sup> <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-8146-3627>

## References

- Bell, A. (1984). "Language style as Audience Design." *Language in Society*, 13: 145-204.
- Cedergren, H., & Sankoff, D. (1974). "Variable Rules: Performance as a Statistical Reflection of Competence." *Language* (02): 333-355.
- Eckert, P. (2012). "Three Waves of Variation Study: The Emergence of Meaning in the Study of Sociolinguistic Variation." *Annual Review of Anthropology* (41): 87-100.
- Eckert, P. (2025). "Social Agency, Social Meaning, and the Third Wave." *Journal of Language Governance* (01): 1-12.



- Fishman, J. (1968). *Readings in the Sociology of Language*. The Hague/Paris: Mouton.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Guy, G. R. (1993). "The Quantitative Analysis of Linguistic Variation." In D. R. Preston (Ed.), *American dialect research* (pp. 223-249). Philadelphia/Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hymes, D. (1974). *Foundations in Sociolinguistics: An Ethnographic Approach*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Irvine, J. (1989). "When Talk isn't Cheap: Language and Political Economy." *American Ethnologist* (02): 248-267.
- Kachru, B. (1985). "Standards, Codification and Sociolinguistic Realism: The English Language in the outer Circle." In R. Quirk & H. G. Widdowson (Eds.), *English in the World: Teaching and Learning the Language and Literatures* (pp.11-30). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Labov, W. (1963). "The Social Motivation of a Sound Change." *Word*, 19: 273-309.
- Labov, W. (1966). *The Social Stratification of English in New York City*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Labov, W. (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Lucas, C., Bayley, R., & Valli, C. (2001). *Sociolinguistic Variation in American Sign Language*. Washington, DC: Gallaudet University Press.
- McCulloch, G. (2019). *Because Internet: Understanding How Language is Changing*. London: Vintage.
- Podesva, R. J. (2007). "Phonation Type as a Stylistic Variable: The Use of Falsetto in Constructing a Persona." *Journal of Sociolinguistics* (04): 478-504.
- Silverstein, M. (1979). "Language Structure and Linguistic Ideology." In P. R. Clyne, W. F. Hanks, & C. L. Hofbauer (Eds.), *The Elements: A Parasession on Linguistic Units and Levels* (pp.193-247). Chicago, IL: Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Silverstein, M. (2003). "Indexical Order and the Dialectics of Sociolinguistic Life." *Language and Communication* (23): 193-229.
- Wong, A. D. (2005). "The Reappropriation of Tongzhi." *Language in Society*, 34(5): 763-793.